



# MAS General Potential

Standard version | 15 minutes | 2 tests | 28 items    Advanced version | 12 minutes | 2 tests | 20 items

More informative, working memory-focused alternatives to traditional standard and advanced abstract/diagrammatic reasoning tests. Fast administration times and available in multiple languages.

**MAS General Potential Advanced**  
Sample Test

### Cognitive Ability

The General Potential Quotient is an indicator of a person's learning and problem solving capacity. A score between 85 and 115 is within the average range for the norm group. Only a very small number of similarly qualified people would be expected to score above 130 or below 70.

**Note:** This assessment is designed to help differentiate people in terms of low, average and high learning and problem solving capacity. It is important to not over-interpret small differences in scores.

People who score in this range may require more time to learn and solve problems than most of their peers. They may be better suited to lower complexity graduate positions.

People who score in this range are likely to have the learning and problem solving capacity to meet the demands of a wide range of graduate positions in the world of work.

People who score in this range are agile thinkers with the potential to master complex job tasks and achieve high levels of productivity. They can quickly acquire new skills through training.

---

### How is the General Potential Quotient derived?

It is a weighted combination of the percentage of test questions answered correctly and, depending on the norm group, also factors in a person's speed of responding. Accordingly, people who have similar raw test scores may not achieve the same General Potential Quotient.

	WORKING MEMORY	REASONING
This person's score on this test:	<b>83%</b>	<b>88%</b>
12 Questions	8 Questions	
# Correct	10	7
# Incorrect	1	1
# Timed Out	1	0

4      © abilities

## COGNITIVE ABILITY COMPONENT

- The Standard version includes a test of working memory and a test of numerical operations.
- The Advanced version includes a test of working memory and a test of numerical inductive reasoning.
- They sample fluid ability as an unbiased and objective measure of general learning and problem solving potential.
- Scores are combined algorithmically to derive the General Potential Quotient for easy comparison of test takers.

**MAS General Potential Advanced**  
Sample Test

### Decision Making Style

The matrix below shows nine broad Decision Making Styles. A person is placed in one of the nine cells based on their General Potential Quotient and the level of confidence they maintained in the answers they give to the test questions. An Inclusive person on this assessment is considered to be a fast and confident learner, problem solver and decision maker.

	High	Highly Overconfident	Confident	Inclusive
Average	Overconfident	Pragmatic	Analytical	
Low	Realistic	Underconfident	Highly Underconfident	
	Low	Average	High	
	CONFIDENCE IN ANSWERS			
	GENERAL POTENTIAL QUOTIENT			

This person's Decision Making Style on this assessment

---

The section below includes general statements about people who are located in this cell. Individuals may vary in the extent to which they display these characteristics.

<b>Inclusive</b>	<p><b>General characteristics</b></p> <p>They have high ability matched by high levels of confidence in their ability. They are very competent decision makers who can make accurate judgements and usually display a high level of insight into the extent of their knowledge. They are expected to be generally self assured, self directed, perceptive and astute. They are well suited to positions that have a requirement for independent action and autonomous decision making, and they are also generally resilient in absorbing work stress and pressure.</p>	<p><b>Profile Strengths</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High level of problem solving ability</li> <li>• Can make fast, accurate decisions, while knowing when to seek advice</li> <li>• Strong leadership potential</li> </ul> <p><b>Profile Weaknesses</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Might have difficulty delegating decisions to other team members</li> <li>• May show impatience with less capable people</li> <li>• Potential to take on too many responsibilities</li> </ul>
------------------	---	--

5      © abilities

## DECISION MAKING COMPONENT

- Includes insights into a test taker's decision making style by sampling their metacognition - the test taker has to 'think about their thinking' and indicate the confidence they have in the answers they give to test questions.
- Identifies high potential people whose strong cognitive capacity is matched by confident, accurate and timely decision making.
- Uncovers people who show consistent patterns of overconfidence or underconfidence in their cognitive abilities.

All tests include individual question timing and cut off limits that challenge target groups. Time intervals in the Advanced version are shorter than those in the Standard version.

Real time analysis of test taker behaviour to deter and detect cheating.

Candidate Reports available to provide feedback.

# MAS Numerical

Advanced version | 20 minutes | 3 tests | 34 items

A more informative, mental agility-focused alternative to traditional numerical aptitude assessments for use with graduate and professional level personnel. Available in multiple languages.

**MAS Numerical Advanced**  
Sample Test

### Cognitive Ability

The Numerical Quotient is an indicator of a person's numerical ability. A score between 85 and 115 is within the average range for the norm group. Only a very small number of similarly qualified people would be expected to score above 130 or below 70.

Note: This assessment is designed to help differentiate people in terms of low, average and high numerical ability. It is important to not over-interpret small differences in scores.

125

70 85 100 115 130

People who score in this range are around average and capable as most of us in understanding numerical and problems. They are likely to be highly efficient in dealing with problems which draw on their numerical reasoning abilities.

People who score in this range are strong numbers people and are able to solve numerical and financial problems quickly and accurately. They are likely to be highly efficient in dealing with problems which draw on their numerical reasoning abilities.

People who score in this range are strong numbers people and are able to solve numerical and financial problems quickly and accurately. They are likely to be highly efficient in dealing with problems which draw on their numerical reasoning abilities.

**Appendix**

The table below indicates details of this person's performance on the constituent tests. Items have not been applied to these raw scores.

Component	Score	Questions	Correct	Failed
Mental Arithmetic	90%	20	18	2
Pattern Recognition	75%	8	6	2
Financial Reasoning	67%	6	4	2

**Mental Arithmetic**  
People who score highly are able to perform fundamental mathematical calculations including addition, subtraction, division and multiplication without the use of a calculator or pen and paper. In the workplace they will be fast and accurate in checking calculations, reviewing spreadsheets, such as performing quick mental calculations, being measurements, and making mathematical operations without the need for paper or assistance.

**Pattern Recognition**  
People who score highly are able to quickly analyse numerical data and identify trends and patterns in order to make correct predictions. In the workplace they will use their reasoning skills to quickly and accurately read and analyse financial statements available in charts, tables and other forms of tabular data. They can spot anomalies and errors, make logical predictions and solve problems, based upon their accurate interpretation of numerical information.

**Financial Reasoning**  
People who score highly can rapidly understand and solve problems involving financial concepts such as the currency conversion and financial markets. In the workplace they will be able to quickly and accurately understand and solve problems involving financial concepts such as the advanced numerical reasoning skills to critically analyse financial and statistical data, make logical predictions and make quick calculations to arrive at solutions to those complex problems.

© abilities

**MAS Numerical Advanced**  
Sample Test

### Decision Making Style

The matrix below shows nine broad Decision Making Styles. A person is placed in one of the nine cells based on their Numerical Quotient and the level of confidence they maintained in the answers they gave to the test questions. An Inclusive person on this assessment is considered to be a highly numerate, confident and accurate decision maker.

CONFIDENCE IN ANSWERS	High	Confident	Inclusive
	Average	Overconfident	Pragmatic
Low	Realistic	Underconfident	Highly Underconfident

NUMERICAL QUOTIENT: Low, Average, High

This person's Decision Making Style on this assessment is Analytical.

The section below includes general statements about people who are located in this cell. Individuals may vary in the extent to which they display these characteristics.

**Analytical**

**General characteristics**  
Despite a high level of ability, their confidence levels are only average, and they tend towards underconfident decision making. While highly capable, they may not always trust their judgement and are inclined to recheck their thinking before making a decision. A cautious approach combined with high ability levels may be sought after in jobs where careful consideration and a high level of accuracy is of utmost importance. It may be less desirable in positions that require rapid decision making under time pressure.

**Profile Strengths**

- High level of problem solving ability
- Careful in reviewing information and arriving at a solution
- Checks thinking and seeks advice as appropriate

**Profile Weaknesses**

- Underestimates true abilities
- Reluctant to make rapid decisions
- Conservative in relation to risk

© abilities

## COGNITIVE ABILITY COMPONENT

- Includes tests of mental arithmetic, numerical pattern recognition and financial reasoning at a graduate and professional level.
- Samples fluid reasoning ability and numerical crystallized knowledge in a mental agility format to identify genuinely quick and accurate 'numbers people'.
- Scores are combined algorithmically to derive the Numerical Quotient for easy comparison of people.

## DECISION MAKING COMPONENT

- Includes insights into a test taker's decision making style by sampling their metacognition - the test taker has to 'think about their thinking' and indicate the confidence they have in the answers they give to test questions.
- Identifies high potential 'numbers' people who have high numerical problem solving ability matched by confident, accurate and timely decision making.
- Uncovers people who show consistent patterns of overconfidence or underconfidence in their numerical abilities.

Feedback Reports available to candidates.

Equitable and fair test design to give all test takers the same opportunity to compete questions within a cut off time.

Real time analysis of test taker behaviour to deter and detect cheating.